Environment, 523 U.S. 83, 94 (1998) (quoting Ex parte McCardle, 74 U.S. (7 Wall.) 506, 514, 19

L.Ed. 264 (1868)). Accordingly, federal courts are under a continuing duty to confirm their

27

28

- 1 - 09cv2494

2

3

4

18 19

17

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

cc:

27

28

jurisdictional power and are even "obliged to inquire sua sponte whenever a doubt arises as to [its] existence. . . . " Mt. Healthy City Sch. Dist. Bd. of Educ. v. Doyle, 429 U.S. 274, 278 (1977) (citations omitted).

On September 10, 2009 Plaintiff commenced an unlawful detainer action in the Superior Court for the County of San Diego. In the Notice of Removal Defendant alleges that Plaintiff has violated several of her constitutional rights. As the claims in the complaint do not arise under any federal law, the court does not possess federal question jurisdiction over the matters asserted in the Notice of Removal. Whether a claim "arises under" federal law for removal purposes is determined by the wellpleaded complaint rule. See Rivet v. Regions Bank of Louisiana, 522 U.S. 470, 475 (1998). A case "arises under" federal law within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. §1331 when federal law either (1) creates the cause of action or (2) the plaintiff's right to relief necessarily depends on resolution of a substantial question of federal law. See Franchise Tax Board v. Construction Laborers Vacation Trust, 463 U.S. 1, 27-28 (1983). Defendant, as the party who invokes federal removal jurisdiction, has the burden of demonstrating the existence of federal jurisdictional. See Gaus v. Miles, Inc. 980 F.2d 564, 566 (9th Cir. 1992); B., Inc. v. Miller Brewing Co., 663 F.2d 545 (5th Cir. 1981). Any doubts regarding removal jurisdiction are construed against Defendant and in favor of remanding the case to state court. See Gaus, 980 F.2d at 566. Here, there is no federal claim over which the court has original jurisdiction. Consequently, the court lacks subject matter jurisdiction and remands this action to the Superior Court for the County of San Diego.

In sum, the court sua sponte remands this action to the Superior Court for the County of San Diego.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

All parties

DATED: November 10, 2009

Hon. Jeffrey T. Miller

United States District Judge

- 2 -09cv2494

eas. Thieles